



## Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire

William M. Reynolds, PhD

Generated by **PARiConnect**

### Score Report

Name: SIQ Sample  
Client ID: SIQ  
Date of birth: (not specified)  
Age: 16  
Grade: 10  
Gender: Male  
Test date: 11/21/2013

This report is intended for use by qualified professionals only and is not to be shared with the examinee or any other unqualified persons.

**PAR** • 16204 N. Florida Ave. • Lutz, FL 33549 • 1.800.331.8378 • [www.parinc.com](http://www.parinc.com)

Copyright © 1987, 1988, 2013 by PAR. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced in whole or in part in any form or by any means without written permission of PAR.

Version: 1.00

## Validity of SIQ Results

The clinician should examine the SIQ responses carefully for any apparent signs of invalid responses, such as unusual patterns or blank items. Another check for invalid protocols is the examination of specific pairs of items on which diametrically different scores appear inconsistent. Items 5 and 6 deal with morbid ideation (thoughts of death). A low score on item 6 is inconsistent with a high score on item 5. Likewise, a low score on item 2 (suicidal thoughts) is inconsistent with high scores on items 3, 4, and 7, which deal with active plans related to suicide. Inconsistencies in responses to these items raise the question of potentially invalid responses. **In the event that any of these validity checks is remarkable, extreme caution should be taken in interpreting the meaning of the SIQ total score, and individual follow-up with the adolescent should be conducted.**

Items 5 and 6 received scores of 0 and 0, respectively.

Item 2 received a score of 0. Items 3, 4, and 7 received scores of 2, 1, and 1, respectively.

## SIQ Score Summary

Total raw score	15
Percentile (Total standardization sample)	68
Percentile (Total standardization by gender)	75
Percentile (Total standardization by grade and gender)	71
Missing responses	0

*Note.* “---” indicates that SIQ results are invalid due to an excessive number of missing item responses.

\*Prorated score.

An adolescent who scores at or above a raw score of 41 should be referred for further evaluation of potentially significant psychopathology and suicide risk. However, clinicians should not depend exclusively on the SIQ for the identification of all adolescents who are thinking of suicide. If an individual is thought, on the basis of other information, to have a potential risk for self-destructive behaviors, further evaluation should be conducted. When in doubt about an individual, users of the SIQ may wish to use a more liberal cutoff score. In these cases, a cutoff raw score of 30 may be an alternative.

## Critical Items

A number of items on the SIQ have been identified as critical items because they address more serious self-destructive behavior. As a general clinical guide, cases in which three or more of these items are endorsed with scores of 5 or 6 should be viewed as serious regardless of the total score.

No critical items received scores of 5 or 6.

## SIQ Item Responses

Item	Response	Item	Response
1.	B	16.	N
2.	N	17.	B
3.	1M	18.	1M
4.	B	19.	N
5.	N	20.	N
6.	N	21.	N
7.	B	22.	B
8.	B	23.	N
9.	N	24.	N
10.	B	25.	B
11.	N	26.	N
12.	N	27.	N
13.	N	28.	B
14.	B	29.	N
15.	B	30.	N

*Note.* "E" = Almost every day; "CW" = Couple of times a week; "1W" = About once a week; "CM" = Couple of times a month; "1M" = About once a month; "B" = I had this thought before but not in the past month; "N" = I never had this thought. "---" indicates a missing response.

**\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\***